

Civil Engineering Design Ethics Case Study Presentation Guest Feedback Form



Project: _____

Technical Content:

Poor...1	2	3	4	Excellent...5
----------	---	---	---	---------------

Evaluation of how well the cadet:

- explained the problem.
- described the results.
- demonstrated their knowledge.

POOR – did not explain problem; used undefined technical jargon; you have no idea what they did; seemed unprepared; got basic concepts wrong

EXCELLENT succinctly explained problem; defined all terms; you know exactly what they did and how they did it, obvious in-depth understanding of project and answered all questions

Poor...1	2	3	4	Excellent...5
----------	---	---	---	---------------

Presentation Quality:

Evaluation of how well the cadet

- organized the presentation.
- created clear & informative slides.
- used effective graphics.
- minimized grammar mistakes?

POOR – no obvious order or sequence to slides; slides hard to read; slides were confusing/difficult to understand without thorough explanation; limited images or graphics; obvious grammar mistakes

EXCELLENT slides followed logical progression; were informative; superior use of images & graphics; no grammar or spelling mistakes

Poor...1	2	3	4	Excellent...5
----------	---	---	---	---------------

Presentation Delivery:

Evaluation of the cadet's

- preparedness.
- professionalism.
- speaking voice.
- reliance upon cue cards.
- participation in the presentation.

POOR cadets obviously didn't prepare, fumbled for what to say, lost train of thought, read directly from note cards, hard to hear/understand the cadet, member monopolized presentation/ or question period

EXCELLENT obviously well rehearsed, cadets knew exactly what they wanted to say, effective extemporaneous speaker, easy to hear/understand the cadet, all members participated equally

Comments:

Reviewer (optional) _____