Civil Engineering Design
Ethics Case Study Presentation
Guest Feedback Form

Project: ____________________________

Technical Content:
Evaluation of how well the cadet:
• explained the problem.
• described the results.
• demonstrated their knowledge.

POOR – did not explain problem; used undefined technical jargon; you have no idea what they did; seemed unprepared; got basic concepts wrong
EXCELLENT succinctly explained problem; defined all terms; you know exactly what they did and how they did it, obvious in-depth understanding of project and answered all questions

Presentation Quality:
Evaluation of how well the cadet
• organized the presentation.
• created clear & informative slides.
• used effective graphics.
• minimized grammar mistakes?

POOR – no obvious order or sequence to slides; slides hard to read; slides were confusing/difficult to understand without thorough explanation; limited images or graphics; obvious grammar mistakes
EXCELLENT slides followed logical progression; were informative; superior use of images & graphics; no grammar or spelling mistakes

Presentation Delivery:
Evaluation of the cadet’s
• preparedness.
• professionalism.
• speaking voice.
• reliance upon cue cards.
• participation in the presentation.

POOR cadets obviously didn’t prepare, fumbled for what to say, lost train of thought, read directly from note cards, hard to hear/understand the cadet, member monopolized presentation/ or question period
EXCELLENT obviously well rehearsed, cadets knew exactly what they wanted to say, effective extemporaneous speaker, easy to hear/understand the cadet, all members participated equally

Comments:

Reviewer (optional) ____________________________